



# **Pilot Weather Needs: Understanding, Quantification, Value**

**Presented at iCNS  
4/28/04**

**James W. Tauss, AMA**



# AMA Market Study for GRC

- ◆ **No Weather Standards**
- ◆ **Business Model for Wx Service Providers**
- ◆ **Data Link Architecture Design**
- ◆ **Providing Value & Benefit for End Users/Encourage Equipage**



# Problem

## ◆ Poor Understanding of Weather ‘Need’

- User not Provider Perspective
- Differing Capabilities/Behavior (Legacy Aircraft, Micro Jets, Quiet Supersonic Jets, UAV, etc.)
- RVSM, Free Flight, Capacity, other initiatives driving changes?

## ◆ Poor Understanding of “Gaps”

- Compare where we are to where we need to go
- Performance Measurements that Mean Something to end user

## ◆ Lack of Direction/Achievable Goals

- How “Good” is “Good Enough”?
- Measured Improvements – *“HOW DO I MEASURE SUCCESS”?*



# History

## ◆ Previous Studies

- Plentiful but missing the mark?

## ◆ Weather Needs Derivation

- Meteorologists!
- Technology!
- Phase of Flight – better but....
- *Operational Decisions!!!!*

☞ **Wx Needs Different for Each Decision Maker**



# Goals

- ◆ **Reach Conclusions about Weather Informational Needs and Achievable Requirements**
  - Design for all classes of users
  - No Weather (Domain-Specific) Free Flight CONOPS (RTCA too High) – With Linkage to Aviation Weather MNS
  - Bandwidth requirements (the “Right” Products)
  - Communication system requirements
  - Inputs towards Flight Deck display (HF translation)
  - “Intelligent Agents” – Pilot Action Plan



# Methodology

## ◆ Leverage Previous Work

- Center TMU weather needs
- Center convective requirements

## ◆ Assess Current Capabilities and Identify Gaps

- Establish baseline
- Re-Evaluate Requirements and modify the CONOPS
  - See Progress and Evaluate Towards Goals
  - Set Priorities & Fund; Design Roadmap
- Leverage RTVS and Other(?) Techniques

## ◆ Determine ‘Achievable’ and ‘Optimum’

- Measured performance; Perception is EVERYTHING!
- Construct for data link architectures
- Construct for HF “best fit” for Operational Use
- Module for Integrated Flight Deck > Intelligent Agents?



# Importance of Work

- ◆ **Assist NASA in Defining Architectures and Achieving Maximum End User Value/Benefit on the Flight Deck**
  - Wx information/product integrity and use
  - Validate wx to flight deck CONOPS
- ◆ **Potential Influence to RTCA Initiatives**
  - Basis for product information standards
  - DO-267A
  - Validation/Guidance for SC 195, 198, 186
- ◆ **FAA Benefits**
  - FIS-DL
  - ASD
  - AIM
  - AC modifications: Flight Standards/Certification